## FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

## OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES 2 SKYLINE, 10th FLOOR 5203 LEESBURG PIKE FALLS CHURCH, VIRGINIA 22041

JUL 24 1984

VESTA MINING COMPANY, CONTEST PROCEEDINGS

Contestant

Docket No. PENN 83-212-ROrder No. 2104660; 6/16/8 Order No. 2104660; 6/16/83

SECRETARY OF LABOR,

CRETARY OF LADOL,
MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH
(MCHA) Docket No. PENN 83-225-R

Order No. 2105163-02; 7/25/83 ADMINISTRATION (MSHA),

Respondent

**Vesta** Mine

SECRETARY OF LABOR, CIVIL PENALTY PROCEEDING

MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (MSHA),

Docket No. PENN 83-230 Petitioner A.C. No. 36-00962-03552

٧.

: Vesta Mine

VESTA MINING COMPANY,

Respondent

## DECISION

Barbara L. Krause, Esq., Smith, Heenan, Appearances:

Althen and Zanolli, Washington, D.C., for

Contestant/Respondent:

James Crawford, Esq., Office of the Solicitor,

U.S. Department of Labor, for Respondent/

Petitioner.

Before: Judge Kennedy

The captioned review-penalty proceedings are before me on the parties' motion to approve settlement of two of the three violations charged and to vacate the 104(d)(2) Order involving an alleged roof control violation.

The matters were first considered at a prehearing/settlement conference that resulted in an agreement to settle the sanding devices (Order No. 2105135) and the shelter holes (Order No. 2105163) charges at the amounts initially assessed, \$750 and \$130 respectively.

Thereafter, the roof control violation (Order No. 2104660) came on for an evidentiary hearing in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, on March 14, 1984. At the close of the solicitor's case, the operator moved to dismiss for failure to prove a prima facie case. For reasons best appreciated by reading the transcript,

the solicitor did not oppose. Whereupon the trial judge vacated the order and dismissed the proposal for penalty.

The premises considered, therefore, it is ORDERED that (1) the motion to approve settlement be, and hereby is, GRANTED and the contest of the shelter holes order (Docket PENN 83-225-R) DISMISSED, (2) the contest of the roof control order (Docket PENN 83-212-R) is GRANTED, and (3) the order is vacated and the proposal for the penalty thereon are DISMISSED. It is FURTHER ORDERED that the operator pay the amount of the penalty agreed upon, \$880, allocated as initially assessed, on or before Friday, August 3, 1984.

Joseph P. Kennedy

Administrativee Law Judg

## Distribution:

÷ ....

Barbara L. Krause, Esq., Smith, **Keenan, Althen & Zanolli,** 1110 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005 (Certified Mail)

James B. Crawford, Esq., Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, 4015 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22203 (Certified Mail)