CCASE: SOL (MSHA) V. MID-CONTINENT RESOURCES DDATE: 19890522 TTEXT: Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission (F.M.S.H.R.C.) Office of Administrative Law Judges

SECRETARY OF LABOR, MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH	DISCRIMINATION PROCEEDING
ADMINISTRATION (MSHA),	Docket No. WEST 89-184-D
ON BEHALF OF MANUEL L. GOMEZ, COMPLAINANT	DENV CD 89-06
v.	Docket No. WEST 89-213-D (Consolidated)
MID-CONTINENT RESOURCES, INC.,	Dutch Creek Mine

RESPONDENT

DECISION

Appearances: Margaret A. Miller, Esq., Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, Denver, Colorado, for Complainant; Edward Mulhall, Jr., Esq., Delaney & Balcomb, Glenwood Springs, Colorado, for Respondent.

Before: Judge Morris

These cases arise under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, 30 U.S.C. 801 et seq., (the "Act").

Complainant has filed an application for reinstatment pursuant to Commission Rule 44, 29 C.F.R. 2700.44 and he has further filed a discrimination complaint pursuant to section 105(c) of the Act.

After notice to the parties a hearing on the merits commenced in Glenwood Springs, Colorado on May 17, 1989.

At the commencement of the hearing the parties moved for the consolidation of the above cases. Pursuant to Commission Rule 12, 29 C.F.R. 2700.12, the cases were consolidated.

The parties further advised the judge that they had reached an amicable settlement of the issues in contest.

The terms of the proposed settlement are that complainant will withdraw his application for temporary reinstatement and further waive any reinstatement and dismiss his claims herein. Further, in consideration thereof, respondent agrees to pay complainant the sum of \$4,500.00.

~910

Discussion

Complainant appeared with the Solicitor, his counsel, and stated that he understood the settlement and he further requested that the proposal be approved.

I find the settlement is proper particularly since all parties are in agreement.

Accordingly, I enter the following:

ORDER

1. The settlement agreement is approved.

2. The request for temporary reinstatement in WEST 89-184-D is dismissed.

3. The complaint of discrimination in WEST 89-213-D is dismissed.

4. Respondent is ordered to pay to complainant the sum of 4,500.00 within 7 days of the date of this decision.

John J. Morris Administrative Law Judge

~911