

**FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION**

1331 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW, SUITE 520N

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-1710

**APR 20 2016**

SECRETARY OF LABOR,  
MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH  
ADMINISTRATION (MSHA)

v.

ACI TYGART VALLEY

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

Docket No. WEVA 2014-2151  
A.C. No. 46-09192-355122

BEFORE: Jordan, Chairman; Young, Cohen, Nakamura and Althen, Commissioners

**ORDER**

BY THE COMMISSION:

This matter arises under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, 30 U.S.C. § 801 et seq. (2012) (“Mine Act”). On September 5, 2014, the Commission received from ACI Tygart Valley (“ACI”) a motion seeking to reopen a penalty assessment that had become a final order of the Commission pursuant to section 105(a) of the Mine Act, 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

Under section 105(a) of the Mine Act, an operator who wishes to contest a proposed penalty must notify the Secretary of Labor no later than 30 days after receiving the proposed penalty assessment. If the operator fails to notify the Secretary, the proposed penalty assessment is deemed a final order of the Commission. 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

We have held, however, that in appropriate circumstances, we possess jurisdiction to reopen uncontested assessments that have become final Commission orders under section 105(a). *Jim Walter Res., Inc.*, 15 FMSHRC 782, 786-89 (May 1993) (“*JWR*”). In evaluating requests to reopen final orders, the Commission has found guidance in Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, under which the Commission may relieve a party from a final order of the Commission on the basis of mistake, inadvertence, excusable neglect, or other reason justifying relief. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 2700.1(b) (“the Commission and its Judges shall be guided so far as practicable by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure”); *JWR*, 15 FMSHRC at 787. We have also observed that default is a harsh remedy and that, if the defaulting party can make a showing of good cause for a failure to timely respond, the case may be reopened and appropriate proceedings on the merits permitted. *See Coal Prep. Servs., Inc.*, 17 FMSHRC 1529, 1530 (Sept. 1995).

Records of the Department of Labor’s Mine Safety and Health Administration (“MSHA”) indicate that the proposed assessment was delivered on July 5, 2014, and became a final order of


the Commission on August 4, 2014. ACI asserts that it had mailed a contest of the proposed assessment within the required time period. The Secretary notes that MSHA has no record of receipt of a contest of the proposed assessment. The Secretary does not oppose the request to reopen, but urges the operator to take steps to ensure that future penalty contests are timely filed.

Having reviewed ACI's request and the Secretary's response, in the interest of justice, we hereby reopen this matter and remand it to the Chief Administrative Law Judge for further proceedings pursuant to the Mine Act and the Commission's Procedural Rules, 29 C.F.R. Part 2700. Accordingly, consistent with Rule 28, the Secretary shall file a petition for assessment of penalty within 45 days of the date of this order. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 2700.28.

  
Mary Lu Jordan, Chairman

  
Michael G. Young, Commissioner

  
Robert F. Cohen, Jr., Commissioner

  
Patrick K. Nakamura, Commissioner

  
William I. Althen, Commissioner

Distribution:

Stewart Bailey  
Safety Manager  
ACI Tygart Valley  
1200 Tygart Drive  
Grafton, WV 26354  
[sbailey@archcoal.com](mailto:sbailey@archcoal.com)

W. Christian Schumann, Esq.  
Office of the Solicitor  
U.S. Department of Labor  
201 12<sup>th</sup> St., South, Suite 401  
Arlington, VA 22202-5450

Melanie Garris  
Office of Civil Penalty Compliance  
MSHA  
U.S. Dept. Of Labor  
201 12<sup>th</sup> Street South, Suite 401  
Arlington, VA 22202-5450

Chief Administrative Law Judge Robert L. Lesnick  
Office of Administrative Law Judges  
Federal Mine Safety & Health Review Commission  
1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W., Suite 520N  
Washington, D.C. 20004-1710