#### FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

# 601 NEW JERSEY AVENUE, NW SUITE 9500 WASHINGTON, DC 20001

## August 9, 2005

SECRETARY OF LABOR, : MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH : ADMINISTRATION (MSHA) :

: Docket No. CENT 2005-184-M

v. : A.C. No. 34-01285-45400

:

MERIDIAN AGGREGATES : COMPANY, LLP :

BEFORE: Duffy, Chairman; Jordan, Suboleski, and Young, Commissioners

#### ORDER

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This matter arises under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, 30 U.S.C. § 801 et seq. (2000) ("Mine Act"). On June 6, 2005, the Commission received from Meridian Aggregates Company, LLP ("Meridian") a motion made by counsel to reopen a penalty assessment that had become a final order of the Commission pursuant to section 105(a) of the Mine Act, 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

Under section 105(a) of the Mine Act, an operator who wishes to contest a proposed penalty must notify the Secretary of Labor no later than 30 days after receiving the proposed penalty assessment. If the operator fails to notify the Secretary, the proposed penalty assessment is deemed a final order of the Commission. 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

In its motion, Meridian states that on approximately December 10, 2004, the Department of Labor's Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") issued to the company the proposed penalty assessment at issue. Mot. at 1. Meridian further states that, at the time MSHA sent the proposed penalty assessment to its Southwest Division Office, the individual who was responsible for ensuring that the proposed assessment would be forwarded to Meridian's district office for processing was on extended leave. As a result, the proposed assessment "was overlooked" and not received at the district office until January 21, 2005, six days after the date on which the proposed penalty had become a final order of the Commission. *Id.* at 1-2. The Secretary states that she does not oppose Meridian's request for relief.

We have held that in appropriate circumstances, we possess jurisdiction to reopen uncontested assessments that have become final Commission orders under section 105(a). *Jim Walter Res., Inc.*, 15 FMSHRC 782, 786-89 (May 1993) ("*JWR*"). In evaluating requests to reopen final section 105(a) orders, the Commission has found guidance in Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure under which, for example, a party could be entitled to relief from a final order of the Commission on the basis of inadvertence or mistake. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 2700.1(b) ("the Commission and its Judges shall be guided so far as practicable by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure"); *JWR*, 15 FMSHRC at 787. We have also observed that default is a harsh remedy and that, if the defaulting party can make a showing of good cause for a failure to timely respond, the case may be reopened and appropriate proceedings on the merits permitted. *See Coal Prep. Servs., Inc.*, 17 FMSHRC 1529, 1530 (Sept. 1995).

Having reviewed Meridian's motion, in the interests of justice, we remand this matter to the Chief Administrative Law Judge for a determination of whether good cause exists for Meridian's failure to timely contest the penalty proposal and whether relief from the final order should be granted. If it is determined that such relief is appropriate, this case shall proceed pursuant to the Mine Act and the Commission's Procedural Rules, 29 C.F.R. Part 2700.

Mary Lu Jordan, Commissioner
Stanley C. Suboleski, Commissioner

### Distribution

Karen L. Johnston, Esq. Jackson Kelly PLLC 1099 18<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 2150 Denver, CO 80202

W. Christian Schumann, Esq. Office of the Solicitor
U.S. Department of Labor
1100 Wilson Blvd., 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor
Arlington, VA 22209-2296

Chief Administrative Law Judge Robert J. Lesnick Federal Mine Safety & Health Review Commission Office of Administrative Law Judges 601 New Jersey Avenue, N.W., Suite 9500 Washington, D.C. 20001-2021