FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

601 NEW JERSEY AVENUE, NW SUITE 9500 WASHINGTON, DC 20001

March 30, 2006

SECRETARY OF LABOR, :

MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH :

ADMINISTRATION (MSHA) : Docket No. PENN 2006-156

A.C. No. 36-05466-73705

v. :

:

EMERALD COAL RESOURCES LP

BEFORE: Duffy, Chairman; Jordan, Suboleski, and Young, Commissioners

ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

This matter arises under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, 30 U.S.C. § 801 et seq. (2000) ("Mine Act"). On March 22, 2006, the Commission received from Emerald Coal Resources LP ("Emerald") a motion made by counsel to reopen a penalty assessment that had become a final order of the Commission pursuant to section 105(a) of the Mine Act, 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

Under section 105(a) of the Mine Act, an operator who wishes to contest a proposed penalty must notify the Secretary of Labor no later than 30 days after receiving the proposed penalty assessment. If the operator fails to notify the Secretary, the proposed penalty assessment is deemed a final order of the Commission. 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

On September 29, 2005, the Department of Labor's Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") issued Order Nos. 7083772 and 7083774 to Emerald's No. 1 Mine. Mot. at 1. The company timely contested the orders, which are the subject of Docket Nos. PENN 2006-16-R and PENN 2006-18-R, currently on stay before Commission Administrative Law Judge Gary Melick. MSHA subsequently proposed penalties for both orders, and several other uncontested citations and orders, in the same penalty assessment. Mot. at 1-2; Ex. 1. The company states that although the person responsible for handling the proposed assessment "marked on the assessment sheet that Emerald intended to contest the Orders[, he] mistakenly believed it was not necessary" to separately contest the penalties proposed for the orders since

they "had already been contested." Mot. at 2. The Secretary states that she does not oppose Emerald's request for relief.

We have held that in appropriate circumstances, we possess jurisdiction to reopen uncontested assessments that have become final Commission orders under section 105(a). *Jim Walter Res., Inc.*, 15 FMSHRC 782, 786-89 (May 1993) ("*JWR*"). In evaluating requests to reopen final section 105(a) orders, the Commission has found guidance in Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure under which, for example, a party could be entitled to relief from a final order of the Commission on the basis of inadvertence or mistake. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 2700.1(b) ("the Commission and its Judges shall be guided so far as practicable by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure"); *JWR*, 15 FMSHRC at 787. We have also observed that default is a harsh remedy and that, if the defaulting party can make a showing of good cause for a failure to timely respond, the case may be reopened and appropriate proceedings on the merits permitted. *See Coal Prep. Servs., Inc.*, 17 FMSHRC 1529, 1530 (Sept. 1995).

Having reviewed Emerald's motion, in the interests of justice, we remand this matter to the Chief Administrative Law Judge for a determination of whether good cause exists for Emerald's failure to timely contest the penalty proposal and whether relief from the final order should be granted. If it is determined that such relief is appropriate, this case shall proceed pursuant to the Mine Act and the Commission's Procedural Rules, 29 C.F.R. Part 2700.

Mic	hael F. Duffy, Chairman
Maı	y Lu Jordan, Commissioner
	, _ ,
74	1 C C-111-: C
Star	ley C. Suboleski, Commissioner

Distribution

R. Henry Moore, Esq. Jackson Kelly, PLLC Three Gateway Center, Suite 1340 401 Liberty Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222

W. Christian Schumann, Esq. Counsel, Appellate Litigation Office of the Solicitor U.S. Department of Labor 1100 Wilson Blvd., 22nd Floor West Arlington, VA 22209-2247

Chief Administrative Law Judge Robert J. Lesnick Federal Mine Safety & Health Review Commission 601 New Jersey Avenue, N.W., Suite 9500 Washington, D.C. 20001-2021