FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

601 NEW JERSEY AVENUE, NW SUITE 9500 WASHINGTON, DC 20001

July 16, 2004

SECRETARY OF LABOR, : MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH :

ADMINISTRATION (MSHA) :

: Docket No. WEST 2004-296-M

v. : A.C. No. 05-04476-19061

:

AGGREGATE INDUSTRIES :

BEFORE: Duffy, Chairman; Beatty, Jordan, Suboleski, and Young, Commissioners

<u>ORDER</u>

BY THE COMMISSION:

This matter arises under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, 30 U.S.C. § 801 et seq. (1994) ("Mine Act"). On May 5, 2004, the Commission received from Aggregate Industries ("Aggregate") a request to reopen a penalty assessment that had become a final order of the Commission pursuant to section 105(a) of the Mine Act, 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

Under section 105(a) of the Mine Act, an operator who wishes to contest a proposed penalty must notify the Secretary of Labor no later than 30 days after receiving the proposed penalty assessment. If the operator fails to notify the Secretary, the proposed penalty assessment is deemed a final order of the Commission. 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

In its request, Aggregate states that MSHA conducted an inspection on October 9, 2003, issuing seven citations. Mot. The proposed assessment for these citations was divided between two cases: A.C. No. 05-04476-19061, including five of the citations, was dated February 13, 2004; A.C. No. 05-04476-21171, covering the two remaining citations, was dated March 11, 2004. *Id.* Aggregates' safety manager did not notice the different dates on the penalty assessment. *Id.* He assumed that March 11, 2004, was the controlling date for both cases with regard to the 30 day time-limit for requesting a hearing. *Id.* The safety manager mailed his hearing request on March 29, 2004. *Id.* This request was timely with regard to the penalty assessment dated March 11, 2004 (A.C. No. 05-04476-21171), but late with regard to the assessment dated February 13, 2004 (A.C. No. 05-04476-19061,). *Id.* The Secretary states that

she does not oppose Aggregate's request for relief. Id.

We have held that in appropriate circumstances, we possess jurisdiction to reopen uncontested assessments that have become final Commission orders under section 105(a). *Jim Walter Res., Inc.*, 15 FMSHRC 782, 786-89 (May 1993) ("*JWR*"). In evaluating requests to reopen final section 105(a) orders, the Commission has found guidance in Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure under which, for example, a party could be entitled to relief from a final order of the Commission on the basis of inadvertence or mistake. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 2700.1(b) ("the Commission and its Judges shall be guided so far as practicable by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure"); *JWR*, 15 FMSHRC at 787. We have also observed that default is a harsh remedy and that, if the defaulting party can make a showing of good cause for a failure to timely respond, the case may be reopened and appropriate proceedings on the merits permitted. *See Coal Prep. Servs., Inc.*, 17 FMSHRC 1529, 1530 (Sept. 1995).

Having reviewed Aggregate's motion, in the interests of justice, we remand this matter to the Chief Administrative Law Judge for a determination of whether good cause exists for Aggregate's failure to timely contest the penalty proposal and whether relief from the final order should be granted. If it is determined that such relief is appropriate, this case shall proceed pursuant to the Mine Act and the Commission's Procedural Rules, 29 C.F.R. Part 2700.

Michael F. Duffy, Chairman
Robert H. Beatty, Jr., Commissioner
·
Mary Lu Jordan, Commissioner
•
Stanley C. Suboleski, Commissioner
Michael G. Young, Commissioner

Distribution

Al Quist, Safety Manager Aggregate Industries 1707 Cole Blvd., Suite 100 Golden, CO 80401

W. Christian Schumann, Esq.
Office of the Solicitor
U.S. Department of Labor
1100 Wilson Blvd., 22nd Floor West
Arlington, VA 22209-2247

Chief Administrative Law Judge Robert J. Lesnick Federal Mine Safety & Health Review Commission 601 New Jersey Avenue, N.W., Suite 9500 Washington, D.C. 20001-2021