### FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

# 601 NEW JERSEY AVENUE, NW SUITE 9500 WASHINGTON, DC 20001

March 7, 2005

SECRETARY OF LABOR, : MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH :

ADMINISTRATION (MSHA) :

: Docket No. WEST 2005-111-M

v. : A.C. No. 05-00438-37367A

:

CLAUDE S. RADFORD, employed by
DICAPERL MINERALS CORP. :

BEFORE: Duffy, Chairman; Jordan, Suboleski, and Young, Commissioners

#### ORDER

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This matter arises under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, 30 U.S.C. § 801 et seq. (2000) ("Mine Act"). On December 7, 2004, the Commission received from Claude S. Radford a motion made by counsel to reopen a penalty assessment for a violation of section 110(c) of the Mine Act, 30 U.S.C. § 820(c), that had become a final order of the Commission pursuant to section 105(a) of the Mine Act, 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

Under section 105(a) of the Mine Act and the Commission's Procedural Rules, an individual charged with a violation under section 110(c) has 30 days following receipt of the Secretary of Labor's proposed penalty assessment within which to notify the Secretary that he or she wishes to contest the proposed penalty. 30 U.S.C. § 815(a); 29 C.F.R. § 2700.26. If the individual fails to notify the Secretary, the proposed penalty assessment is deemed a final order of the Commission. 30 U.S.C. § 815(a); 29 C.F.R. § 2700.27.

On September 13, 2004, the Department of Labor's Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") issued a proposed penalty assessment (A.C. No. 05-00438-37367A) to Radford. In his motion, Radford states the proposed assessment was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, and it was signed for on September 27, 2004. Mot. at 2. Radford further states that, on October 19, 2004, the proposed assessment was forwarded to counsel. *Id.* Radford asserts that counsel was informed by his employer, Dicaperl Minerals Corp., that his

proposed assessment was received on the same date as the proposed assessment received by his co-worker, Terry J. Vance. *Id.* Radford asserts that, on October 13, 2004, Tami Charlson, counsel's legal assistant, contacted MSHA's assessment office and was informed that Vance's proposed assessment was received on October 6, 2004. *Id.* Accordingly, Radford asserts, counsel filed the penalty contest on November 4, 2004. *Id.* Radford states that, on December 6, 2004, counsel received a letter from MSHA stating the penalties were not timely contested. *Id.* A copy of Ms. Charlson's affidavit is attached to Radford's motion. Radford did not provide any other supporting documentation. The Secretary states that she does not oppose Radford's request for relief.

We have held that in appropriate circumstances, we possess jurisdiction to reopen uncontested assessments that have become final Commission orders under section 105(a). *Jim Walter Res., Inc.*, 15 FMSHRC 782, 786-89 (May 1993) ("*JWR*"). In evaluating requests to reopen final section 105(a) orders, the Commission has found guidance in Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure under which, for example, a party could be entitled to relief from a final order of the Commission on the basis of inadvertence or mistake. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 2700.1(b) ("the Commission and its Judges shall be guided so far as practicable by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure"); *JWR*, 15 FMSHRC at 787. We have also observed that default is a harsh remedy and that, if the defaulting party can make a showing of good cause for a failure to timely respond, the case may be reopened and appropriate proceedings on the merits permitted. *See Coal Prep. Servs., Inc.*, 17 FMSHRC 1529, 1530 (Sept. 1995).

Having reviewed Radford's request, in the interests of justice, we remand this matter to the Chief Administrative Law Judge for a determination of whether good cause exists for Radford's failure to timely contest the penalty proposal and whether relief from the final order should be granted. If it is determined that such relief is appropriate, this case shall proceed pursuant to the Mine Act and the Commission's Procedural Rules, 29 C.F.R. Part 2700.

Mi	chael F. Duffy, Chairman	
Ma	ry Lu Jordan, Commissioner	
Sta	nley C. Suboleski, Commissione	er

## Distribution

Karen L. Johnson, Esq. Jackson Kelly, PLLC 1099 18<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 2150 Denver, CO 82022

W. Christian Schumann, Esq. Office of the Solicitor U.S. Department of Labor 1100 Wilson Blvd., 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor Arlington, VA 22209

Chief Administrative Law Judge Robert J. Lesnick Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission 601 New Jersey Ave., N.W., Suite 9500 Washington, D.C. 20001