FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

601 NEW JERSEY AVENUE, NW
SUITE 9500
WASHINGTON, DC 20001
December 16, 2005

SECRETARY OF LABOR, :

MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH

ADMINISTRATION (MSHA) : Docket No. WEST 2006-129-M

A.C. No. 45-02667-67075

V.

:

JONES QUARRY, INC. :

BEFORE: Duffy, Chairman; Jordan, Suboleski, and Young, Commissioners

ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

This matter arises under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, 30 U.S.C. § 801 et seq. (2000) ("Mine Act"). On November 17, 2005, the Commission received from Jones Quarry, Inc. ("Jones Quarry") a letter requesting that the Commission reopen a penalty assessment that became a final order of the Commission pursuant to section 105(a) of the Mine Act, 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

Under section 105(a) of the Mine Act, an operator who wishes to contest a proposed penalty must notify the Secretary of Labor no later than 30 days after receiving the proposed penalty assessment. If the operator fails to notify the Secretary, the proposed penalty assessment is deemed a final order of the Commission. 30 U.S.C. § 815(a).

In its letter, Jones Quarry states that the Department of Labor's Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") rejected its contest of the proposed penalty, asserting that Jones Quarry had mailed it beyond the 30-day limit to file such contests. The company further explains that although the proposed penalty assessment form stated that it had "30 days to respond," the form did not state whether the 30-day limit referred to business days or calendar days, noting that "[i]t was 36 consecutive [i.e., calendar] days when I sent the request to contest and only 25

business days." The Secretary of Labor states that she does not oppose Jones Quarry's request for relief.

We have held that in appropriate circumstances, we possess jurisdiction to reopen uncontested assessments that have become final Commission orders under section 105(a). *Jim Walter Res.*, *Inc.*, 15 FMSHRC 782, 786-89 (May 1993) ("*JWR*"). In evaluating requests to reopen final section 105(a) orders, the Commission has found guidance in Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure under which, for example, a party could be entitled to relief from a final order of the Commission on the basis of inadvertence or mistake. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 2700.1(b) ("the Commission and its Judges shall be guided so far as practicable by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure"); *JWR*, 15 FMSHRC at 787. We have also observed that default is a harsh remedy and that, if the defaulting party can make a showing of good cause for a failure to timely respond, the case may be reopened and appropriate proceedings on the merits permitted. *See Coal Prep. Servs., Inc.*, 17 FMSHRC 1529, 1530 (Sept. 1995).

¹ MSHA's proposed penalty assessment form cover sheet states "you [have] 30 days to either pay the Proposed Assessment or contest [it]."

Having reviewed Jones Quarry's request for relief, in the interests of justice, we remand this matter to the Chief Administrative Law Judge for a determination of whether good cause exists for Jones Quarry's failure to timely contest the penalty proposal and whether relief from the final order should be granted. If it is determined that such relief is appropriate, this case shall proceed pursuant to the Mine Act and the Commission's Procedural Rules, 29 C.F.R. Part 2700.

Michael	F. Duffy, Chairman	
Mary Lu	Jordan, Commissioner	
Stanley (. Suboleski, Commissioner	

Distribution

Mike Wallace Jones Quarry, Inc. 2840-C Black Lake Blvd., SW Tumwater, WA 98512

W. Christian Schumann, Esq. Office of the Solicitor
U.S. Department of Labor
1100 Wilson Blvd., 22nd Floor
Arlington, VA 22209-2296

Chief Administrative Law Judge Robert J. Lesnick Federal Mine Safety & Health Review Commission 601 New Jersey Avenue, N.W., Suite 9500 Washington, D.C. 20001-2021